

**Estimates of the Total Populations of Counties and Places in Texas  
for July 1, 2001 and January 1, 2002**

From

The Texas State Data Center  
The Texas State Population Estimates and Projections Program

Prepared by

Department of Rural Sociology  
Texas Agricultural Experiment Station  
Texas A&M University System

September 2002

The attached are estimates of the total population for counties and places in Texas for July 1, 2001 and January 1, 2002 completed by personnel from the Texas State Data Center offices in the Department of Rural Sociology at Texas A&M University. In this brief report, the methodology used to prepare the estimates is described. Because of space limitations, only a summary of the methodology is presented. Those wishing to obtain a more complete description of the estimation procedures and of the historical and sensitivity analyses used to select the methods employed in these estimates should contact program personnel in the Department of Rural Sociology at Texas A&M.

## **Estimation Methodology**

### **Methodology for County Estimates**

The estimates reported here for counties are the averages of estimates made using ratio-correlation, component-method II, and housing-unit methods. Ratio-correlation procedures utilize multiple regression techniques with the ratio of variable values for adjacent time periods rather than simply the variable values themselves being used as independent and dependent variables. After an extensive evaluation of the relative accuracy of alternative procedures (including difference-rate, ratio-correlation and rate-correlation methods) and an analysis of alternative variables, a simple ratio-correlation model was employed to complete the final estimates. This model used the variables of births, deaths, elementary school enrollment, vehicle registration, and voter registration.

The component-method II procedure employed utilizes data on births, deaths and elementary school enrollment to estimate population. In this method, migration of the school-age population is assumed to be indicative of migration in the total population (with adjustments being made for the historical differences between the school-age migration rate and the total population's rate of migration). Data on public school enrollment from the Texas Education Agency and data from the Texas State Data Center's survey of private schools in Texas are used to estimate change in the school-age population. Data on institutional populations were obtained from applicable institutions, while data on other special populations, such as the elderly population were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

The housing-unit method used is of the standard form with change in the number of housing units in the housing stock of an area, from the base date (in this case, the 2000 Census) to the estimate date (in this case, July 1, 2001), being used to estimate population change. New housing additions and demolitions are taken from the U.S. Bureau of the Census survey of building permits and demolitions and the Texas State Data Center survey of counties and cities issuing permits for residential buildings and demolitions. Both the U.S. Census Bureau's building permit survey and the Texas State Data Center's survey can only collect data from permit issuing county and city jurisdictions (methods for dealing with non-permit issuing places are discussed later). Assumptions about vacancy rates and average household size are then used in conjunction with data on the number of housing units in an estimate area (including those in the area at the base date and the net number of units added to, or subtracted from, the base housing stock for the time period between the base date and the estimate date). Separate estimates are completed by type of structure with the types used being single-family structures, 2-to-4 unit structures, structures with 5 or more units, and mobile homes. For purposes of the 2001 estimates, 2000 vacancy rates and average household sizes for each of the housing structure types were assumed to prevail as of the estimate date of July 1, 2001. For 2001, the estimates of the number of new mobile homes added to an area's housing stock were obtained from the Texas

State Data Center's survey of building permits and demolitions. The sum of mobile homes from the survey was subtracted from the U.S. Bureau of the Census' estimate of the total number of mobile homes shipped to Texas. The difference was allocated to jurisdictions on the basis of the change in units in jurisdictions for other housing types from 2000 to the estimate date of July 1, 2001.

The average of the component-method II, ratio-correlation and housing-unit population estimates is used as the population estimate for July 1, 2001 with the total for all counties being controlled to the July 1, 2001 estimate for the State obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Prior to the release of these estimates, county estimates were evaluated for consistency and reasonableness by comparing them to those from other State and local agencies.

The January 1, 2002 estimates are obtained by adding births to, and subtracting deaths from July 1, 2001 through December 31, 2001, to the July 1, 2001 estimates and assuming that July 1, 2000 to July 1, 2001 rates of migration continue from July 1, 2001 to January 1, 2002. The State and county estimates are obtained using the same method with the sum of the county estimates controlled to the State estimate.

### **Methodology for Place Estimates**

For places, population estimates were made using an average of only component-method II and housing-unit procedures. This is because the data necessary to implement a ratio-correlation method with acceptable levels of predictability could not be obtained. Thus, only data on births, deaths and school enrollment could be obtained for places, and no acceptable ratio-correlation model (as measured by the multiple coefficient of determination,  $R^2$ ) could be developed using these three variables.

To complete the component-method II estimates for places for 2001, standard component procedures were applied to 2000 Census population counts for places. County-level birth and death data for 2000-01 from the Texas Department of Health and 2000-01 data from the Texas Education Agency on public school enrollment and from the Texas State Data Center survey of private schools on enrollment in private schools were used in this procedure. In addition, data on Medicare enrollment and on the net movement of persons from the military to the civilian population were obtained for counties from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Values for each of these items were allocated from counties to places prior to the completion of the place estimates. Such allocation procedures were necessary because data items that were available for places (such as birth and death data) showed year-to-year fluctuations and reporting errors that made the direct use of place-level data problematic. The general allocation procedures used for these items involved population subgroups closely associated with the item being allocated (i.e., women of child-bearing age for fertility, school-age population for school enrollment, the total population for deaths, persons 65+ years of age for Medicare enrollment, and the population 14-17 years of age for net movement). The number in the appropriate subgroups for each place and the remainder of the county in each county in 2000 were survived (using state-level survival rates for 1999-2000) to July 1, 2001, and the sum of the survived groups in each place and the remainder of the county were controlled to the county total for the item as reported from the appropriate agency to obtain the value for each place. Place estimates were completed for July 1, 2001 and adjusted to account for population changes due to annexations or other boundary changes as obtained from the annual Texas State Data Center Boundary and Annexation Survey.

The housing unit estimates for places were completed using the same general procedures delineated above (for counties) except that it was necessary to use procedures to allocate new housing units and demolitions to places that were not reporting jurisdictions. This was done by taking the difference between the county totals for new building permits and demolitions and the sum of values for places for which data were reported for a county and proportionally allocating the difference to the nonreporting places. For the 2001 estimates, the allocation was done on the basis of the nonreporting places' proportions of county housing stocks as reported in the 2000 Census.

The estimates for place populations from component-method II and the housing-unit method were averaged to provide a July 1, 2001 estimate of the total population for each place. The sum of the estimated populations for places in each county (and for that part of each county's population not living in places) were controlled to county totals to ensure consistency with the county estimates.

The January 1, 2002 place estimates are prepared using the same extrapolative procedures as described above for the State and county. Place estimates for each county for January 1, 2002 are controlled to the county estimate for January 1, 2002.

### **Comparisons to U.S. Census Bureau Estimates**

The estimates presented here differ from those from sources such as those periodically produced by the U.S. Census Bureau for several reasons. First, these estimates have been made using techniques that are different than those used by the Bureau. The Census Bureau uses only the administrative records method to estimate county and place populations. Because the administrative records method uses income tax data that are not available to analysts outside the Census Bureau, this technique could not be used by the Texas State Population Estimates Program. Secondly, the estimates reported in the following pages utilize more recent data than those used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. The Census Bureau's county estimates utilize 2000 birth and death data, whereas 2001 values were employed in the Texas State Data Center estimates reported here. Finally, the Census Bureau estimates do not include information on annexation and boundary changes for places later than 2000 whereas information through 2001 was included in the estimates completed by the Texas program. Because of these differences, the population estimates presented here and those from the U.S. Bureau of the Census are not directly comparable.

If you have any questions concerning these estimates, please contact:

Dr. Steve H. Murdock, State Demographer  
Texas State Data Center  
Department of Rural Sociology  
Texas A&M University  
2125 TAMU  
College Station, Texas 77843-2125  
(979) 845-5115

Table 3

Texas State Data Center Population Estimates Program July 1, 2001 and January 1, 2002 Estimates of the Total Population of Metropolitan Statistical Areas and 2000-2001 and 2001-2002 Population Change for All Metropolitan Statistical Areas in Texas

MSA	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2001 Population Estimate	January 1, 2002 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-01	Numerical Change 2001-02	Percent Change 2000-01	Percent Change 2001-02
Abilene	126,555	126,555	126,692	0	137	0.0	0.1
Amarillo	217,858	220,468	221,563	2,610	1,095	1.2	0.5
Austin-San Marcos	1,249,763	1,305,554	1,327,176	55,791	21,622	4.5	1.7
Beaumont-Port Arthur	385,090	385,208	386,022	118	814	0.0	0.2
Brazoria	241,767	248,171	250,761	6,404	2,590	2.6	1.0
Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito	335,227	344,621	348,374	9,394	3,753	2.8	1.1
Bryan-College Station	152,415	155,449	156,765	3,034	1,316	2.0	0.8
Corpus Christi	380,783	381,899	383,011	1,116	1,112	0.3	0.3
Dallas	3,519,176	3,645,323	3,694,780	126,147	49,457	3.6	1.4
El Paso	679,622	688,263	692,415	8,641	4,152	1.3	0.6
Ft. Worth-Arlington	1,702,625	1,753,477	1,772,546	50,852	19,069	3.0	1.1
Galveston-Texas City	250,158	255,210	257,350	5,052	2,140	2.0	0.8
Houston	4,177,646	4,277,696	4,317,853	100,050	40,157	2.4	0.9
Killeen-Temple	312,952	318,599	321,118	5,647	2,519	1.8	0.8
Laredo	193,117	201,256	204,375	8,139	3,119	4.2	1.5
Longview-Marshall	208,780	210,663	211,559	1,883	896	0.9	0.4
Lubbock	242,628	244,940	245,945	2,312	1,005	1.0	0.4
McAllen-Edinburg-Mission	569,463	591,083	599,309	21,620	8,226	3.8	1.4
Odessa-Midland	237,132	237,043	237,494	-89	451	-0.0	0.2
San Angelo	104,010	103,943	104,114	-67	171	-0.1	0.2
San Antonio	1,592,383	1,623,109	1,635,942	30,726	12,833	1.9	0.8
Sherman-Denison	110,595	112,177	112,956	1,582	779	1.4	0.7
Texarkana	89,306	90,651	90,078	1,345	-573	1.5	-0.6
Tyler	174,706	178,119	179,579	3,413	1,460	2.0	0.8
Victoria	84,088	85,186	85,721	1,098	535	1.3	0.6
Waco	213,517	215,532	216,517	2,015	985	0.9	0.5
Wichita Falls	140,518	140,862	141,133	344	271	0.2	0.2
State of Texas	20,851,820	21,325,018	21,518,555	473,198	193,537	2.3	0.9