

Estimates of the Total Populations of Counties and Places in Texas  
for July 1, 2003 and January 1, 2004

produced by:

Population Estimates and Projections Program  
Texas State Data Center  
Office of the State Demographer  
Institute for Demographic and Socioeconomic Research  
College of Business  
University of Texas at San Antonio

October 2004

The attached are estimates of the total population for counties and places in Texas for July 1, 2003 and January 1, 2004 completed by personnel from the Texas State Data Center offices in the Institute for Demographic and Socioeconomic Research at The University of Texas at San Antonio. In this brief report, the methodology used to prepare the estimates is described. Because of space limitations, only a summary of the methodology is presented. Those wishing to obtain a more complete description of the estimation procedures and of the historical and sensitivity analyses used to select the methods employed in these estimates should contact program personnel in the Texas State Data Center at The University of Texas at San Antonio.

## **Estimation Methodology**

### **Methodology for County Estimates**

The estimates reported for counties are the averages of estimates made using ratio-correlation, component-method II, and housing-unit methods. Ratio-correlation procedures utilize multiple regression techniques with the ratio of variable values for adjacent time periods rather than simply the variable values themselves being used as independent and dependent variables. After an extensive evaluation of the relative accuracy of alternative procedures (including difference-rate, ratio-correlation and rate-correlation methods) and an analysis of alternative variables, a simple ratio-correlation model was employed to complete the final estimates. This model used the variables of births, deaths, elementary school enrollment, vehicle registration, and voter registration.

The component-method II procedure employed utilizes data on births, deaths and elementary school enrollment to estimate population. In this method, migration of the school-age population is assumed to be indicative of migration in the total population (with adjustments being made for the historical differences between the school-age migration rate and the total population's rate of migration). Data on public school enrollment from the Texas Education Agency and data from the Texas State Data Center's survey of private schools in Texas are used to estimate change in the school-age population. Data on institutional populations were obtained from applicable institutions, while data on other special populations, such as the elderly population were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

The housing-unit method used is of the standard form with change in the number of housing units in the housing stock of an area, from the base date (in this case, the 2000 Census) to the estimate date (in this case, July 1, 2003), being used to estimate population change. New housing additions and demolitions are taken from the U.S. Bureau of the Census survey of building permits and demolitions and the Texas State Data Center survey of counties and cities issuing permits for residential buildings and demolitions. Both the U.S. Census Bureau's building permit survey and the Texas State Data Center's survey can only collect data from permit issuing county and city jurisdictions (methods for dealing with non-permit issuing places are discussed later). Assumptions about vacancy rates and average household size are then used in conjunction with data on the number of housing units in an estimate area (including those in the area at the base date and the net number of units added to, or subtracted from, the base housing stock for the time period between the base date and the estimate date). Separate estimates are completed by type of structure with the types used being single-family structures, 2-to-4 unit structures, structures with 5 or more units, and mobile homes. For purposes of the 2003 estimates, 2000 vacancy rates and average household sizes for each of the housing structure types were assumed to prevail as of the estimate date of July 1, 2003. For 2003, the estimates of the number of new mobile homes added to an area's housing stock were obtained from the Texas

State Data Center's survey of building permits and demolitions. The sum of mobile homes from the survey was subtracted from the U.S. Bureau of the Census' estimate of the total number of mobile homes shipped to Texas. The difference was allocated to jurisdictions on the basis of the change in units in jurisdictions for other housing types from 2000 to the estimate date of July 1, 2003.

The average of the component-method II, ratio-correlation and housing-unit population estimates is used as the population estimate for July 1, 2003 with the total for all counties being controlled to the July 1, 2003 estimate for the State obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Prior to the release of these estimates, county estimates were evaluated for consistency and reasonableness by comparing them to those from other State and local agencies.

The January 1, 2004 estimates are obtained by adding births to, and subtracting deaths from July 1, 2003 through December 31, 2003, to the July 1, 2003 estimates and assuming that July 1, 2002 to July 1, 2003 rates of migration continue from July 1, 2003 to January 1, 2004. The State and county estimates are obtained using the same method with the sum of the county estimates controlled to the State estimate.

### **Methodology for Place Estimates**

For places, population estimates were made using the same three methods as used for county estimates. To complete the component-method II estimates for places for 2003, standard component procedures were applied to 2000 Census population counts for places. County-level birth and death data for 2000-03 from the Texas Department of Health and 2000-03 data from the Texas Education Agency on public school enrollment and from the Texas State Data Center survey of private schools on enrollment in private schools were used in this procedure. In addition, data on Medicare enrollment and on the net movement of persons from the military to the civilian population were obtained for counties from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Values for each of these items were allocated from counties to places prior to the completion of the place estimates. Such allocation procedures were necessary because data items that were available for places (such as birth and death data) showed year-to-year fluctuations and reporting errors that made the direct use of place-level data problematic. The general allocation procedures used for these items involved population subgroups closely associated with the item being allocated (i.e., women of child-bearing age for fertility, school-age population for school enrollment, the total population for deaths, persons 65+ years of age for Medicare enrollment, and the population 14-17 years of age for net movement). The number in the appropriate subgroups for each place and the remainder of the county in each county in 2000 were survived (using state-level survival rates for 1999-2001) to July 1, 2003, and the sum of the survived groups in each place and the remainder of the county were controlled to the county total for the item as reported from the appropriate agency to obtain the value for each place. Place estimates were completed for July 1, 2003 and adjusted to account for population changes due to annexations or other boundary changes as obtained from the annual Texas State Data Center Boundary and Annexation Survey.

The housing unit estimates for places were completed using the same general procedures delineated above (for counties) except that it was necessary to use procedures to allocate new housing units and demolitions to places that were not reporting jurisdictions. This was done by taking the difference between the county totals for new building permits and demolitions and the sum of values for places for which data were reported for a county and proportionally allocating

the difference to the nonreporting places. For the 2003 estimates, the allocation was done on the basis of the nonreporting places' proportions of county housing stocks as reported in the 2000 Census.

The third method used is the ratio-correlation method. Ratio correlation estimates were made to allocate county populations to places (and non-place areas) using births, deaths and housing units for places as estimation items.

The estimates for place populations from the three methods were averaged to provide a July 1, 2003 estimate of the total population for each place. The sum of the estimated populations for places in each county (and for that part of each county's population not living in places) were controlled to county totals to ensure consistency with the county estimates.

The January 1, 2004 place estimates are prepared using the same extrapolative procedures as described above for the State and county. Place estimates for each county for January 1, 2004 are controlled to the county estimate for January 1, 2004.

### **Comparisons to U.S. Census Bureau Estimates**

The estimates presented here differ from those from sources such as those periodically produced by the U.S. Census Bureau for several reasons. These estimates have been made using techniques that are different than those used by the Bureau. The Census Bureau uses only the distributive housing unit method to estimate place populations and the administrative records method to estimate county populations. Because the administrative records method uses income tax data that are not available to analysts outside the Census Bureau, this technique can not be used by other agencies. In addition, the estimates reported in the following pages utilize more recent data than those used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. The Census Bureau's county estimates utilize 2002 birth and death data, whereas 2003 values were employed in the Texas State Data Center estimates reported here. Also, the Census Bureau utilizes birth and death data only in their county level estimates while the Texas State Data Center includes current births and deaths in both county and place level estimates. Finally, the Census Bureau estimates do not include information on annexation and boundary changes for places later than 2001 whereas information through 2003 was included in the estimates completed by the Texas program. Because of these differences, the population estimates presented here and those from the U.S. Bureau of the Census are not directly comparable.

If you have any questions concerning these estimates, please contact:

Dr. Steve H. Murdock, State Demographer  
Texas State Data Center  
Institute for Demographic and Socioeconomic Research  
University of Texas at San Antonio  
6900 North Loop 1604 West  
San Antonio, Texas 78249-0704  
(210) 458-6543

Table 1

Texas State Data Center Population Estimates Program July 1, 2003 and January 1, 2004 Estimates of the Total Population of Counties and 2000-2003 and 2000-2004 Population Change for All Counties in Texas

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2003 Population Estimate	January 1, 2004 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-03	Numerical Change 2000-04	Percent Change 2000-03	Percent Change 2000-04
Anderson	55,109	55,618	55,011	509	-98	0.9	-0.2
Andrews	13,004	12,946	12,914	-58	-90	-0.4	-0.7
Angelina	80,130	81,332	81,248	1,202	1,118	1.5	1.4
Aransas	22,497	23,877	24,243	1,380	1,746	6.1	7.8
Archer	8,854	9,164	9,322	310	468	3.5	5.3
Armstrong	2,148	2,102	2,080	-46	-68	-2.1	-3.2
Atascosa	38,628	41,187	41,632	2,559	3,004	6.6	7.8
Austin	23,590	25,284	25,323	1,694	1,733	7.2	7.3
Bailey	6,594	6,369	6,377	-225	-217	-3.4	-3.3
Bandera	17,645	19,254	19,395	1,609	1,750	9.1	9.9
Bastrop	57,733	66,988	68,822	9,255	11,089	16.0	19.2
Baylor	4,093	4,041	4,044	-52	-49	-1.3	-1.2
Bee	32,359	32,958	32,842	599	483	1.9	1.5
Bell	237,974	250,321	252,247	12,347	14,273	5.2	6.0
Bexar	1,392,931	1,463,537	1,474,559	70,606	81,628	5.1	5.9
Blanco	8,418	8,974	8,995	556	577	6.6	6.9
Borden	729	695	697	-34	-32	-4.7	-4.4
Bosque	17,204	17,665	17,673	461	469	2.7	2.7
Bowie	89,306	91,101	91,078	1,795	1,772	2.0	2.0
Brazoria	241,767	262,107	265,366	20,340	23,599	8.4	9.8
Brazos	152,415	161,304	161,779	8,889	9,364	5.8	6.1
Brewster	8,866	9,265	9,373	399	507	4.5	5.7
Briscoe	1,790	1,691	1,625	-99	-165	-5.5	-9.2
Brooks	7,976	7,827	7,836	-149	-140	-1.9	-1.8
Brown	37,674	38,231	38,054	557	380	1.5	1.0
Burleson	16,470	17,457	17,615	987	1,145	6.0	7.0
Burnet	34,147	37,511	38,171	3,364	4,024	9.9	11.8
Caldwell	32,194	35,008	35,343	2,814	3,149	8.7	9.8
Calhoun	20,647	20,983	20,987	336	340	1.6	1.6
Callahan	12,905	13,308	13,533	403	628	3.1	4.9
Cameron	335,227	365,095	369,450	29,868	34,223	8.9	10.2
Camp	11,549	12,321	12,430	772	881	6.7	7.6
Carson	6,516	6,467	6,441	-49	-75	-0.8	-1.2
Cass	30,438	30,413	30,475	-25	37	-0.1	0.1
Castro	8,285	7,830	7,762	-455	-523	-5.5	-6.3
Chambers	26,031	28,426	28,435	2,395	2,404	9.2	9.2
Cherokee	46,659	47,851	47,956	1,192	1,297	2.6	2.8
Childress	7,688	7,531	7,379	-157	-309	-2.0	-4.0
Clay	11,006	11,335	11,324	329	318	3.0	2.9
Cochran	3,730	3,577	3,575	-153	-155	-4.1	-4.2
Coke	3,864	3,881	3,859	17	-5	0.4	-0.1
Coleman	9,235	9,120	9,222	-115	-13	-1.2	-0.1
Collin	491,675	593,419	608,357	101,744	116,682	20.7	23.7
Collingsworth	3,206	3,017	2,870	-189	-336	-5.9	-10.5
Colorado	20,390	20,889	20,868	499	478	2.4	2.3
Comal	78,021	87,553	89,348	9,532	11,327	12.2	14.5
Comanche	14,026	14,249	14,304	223	278	1.6	2.0
Concho	3,966	3,918	3,927	-48	-39	-1.2	-1.0
Cooke	36,363	38,007	38,126	1,644	1,763	4.5	4.8
Coryell	74,978	74,781	74,686	-197	-292	-0.3	-0.4
Cottle	1,904	1,751	1,725	-153	-179	-8.0	-9.4
Crane	3,996	3,923	4,027	-73	31	-1.8	0.8
Crockett	4,099	4,025	3,979	-74	-120	-1.8	-2.9
Crosby	7,072	6,742	6,677	-330	-395	-4.7	-5.6
Culberson	2,975	2,789	2,763	-186	-212	-6.3	-7.1
Dallam	6,222	6,234	6,225	12	3	0.2	0.0
Dallas	2,218,899	2,284,665	2,287,288	65,766	68,389	3.0	3.1
Dawson	14,985	14,361	14,333	-624	-652	-4.2	-4.4

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2003 Population Estimate	January 1, 2004 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-03	Numerical Change 2000-04	Percent Change 2000-03	Percent Change 2000-04
Deaf Smith	18,561	18,394	18,446	-167	-115	-0.9	-0.6
Delta	5,327	5,464	5,506	137	179	2.6	3.4
Denton	432,976	511,650	522,429	78,674	89,453	18.2	20.7
De Witt	20,013	20,489	20,591	476	578	2.4	2.9
Dickens	2,762	2,728	2,711	-34	-51	-1.2	-1.8
Dimmit	10,248	10,133	10,173	-115	-75	-1.1	-0.7
Donley	3,828	3,886	3,916	58	88	1.5	2.3
Duval	13,120	12,971	12,895	-149	-225	-1.1	-1.7
Eastland	18,297	18,269	18,225	-28	-72	-0.2	-0.4
Ector	121,123	123,758	124,155	2,635	3,032	2.2	2.5
Edwards	2,162	1,958	1,891	-204	-271	-9.4	-12.5
Ellis	111,360	124,517	126,653	13,157	15,293	11.8	13.7
El Paso	679,622	707,385	711,559	27,763	31,937	4.1	4.7
Erath	33,001	33,648	33,904	647	903	2.0	2.7
Falls	18,576	18,517	18,429	-59	-147	-0.3	-0.8
Fannin	31,242	32,646	32,837	1,404	1,595	4.5	5.1
Fayette	21,804	22,973	23,194	1,169	1,390	5.4	6.4
Fisher	4,344	4,214	4,172	-130	-172	-3.0	-4.0
Floyd	7,771	7,305	7,185	-466	-586	-6.0	-7.5
Foard	1,622	1,573	1,575	-49	-47	-3.0	-2.9
Fort Bend	354,452	411,096	418,760	56,644	64,308	16.0	18.1
Franklin	9,458	9,580	9,723	122	265	1.3	2.8
Freestone	17,867	18,934	19,135	1,067	1,268	6.0	7.1
Frio	16,252	16,296	16,225	44	-27	0.3	-0.2
Gaines	14,467	14,656	14,756	189	289	1.3	2.0
Galveston	250,158	265,459	267,505	15,301	17,347	6.1	6.9
Garza	4,872	5,103	5,075	231	203	4.7	4.2
Gillespie	20,814	22,095	22,353	1,281	1,539	6.2	7.4
Glasscock	1,406	1,310	1,305	-96	-101	-6.8	-7.2
Goliad	6,928	7,188	7,202	260	274	3.8	4.0
Gonzales	18,628	19,058	19,023	430	395	2.3	2.1
Gray	22,744	22,129	21,912	-615	-832	-2.7	-3.7
Grayson	110,595	115,394	115,862	4,799	5,267	4.3	4.8
Gregg	111,379	113,624	113,737	2,245	2,358	2.0	2.1
Grimes	23,552	24,492	24,439	940	887	4.0	3.8
Guadalupe	89,023	98,227	99,582	9,204	10,559	10.3	11.9
Hale	36,602	36,197	36,288	-405	-314	-1.1	-0.9
Hall	3,782	3,900	3,971	118	189	3.1	5.0
Hamilton	8,229	8,360	8,400	131	171	1.6	2.1
Hansford	5,369	5,292	5,295	-77	-74	-1.4	-1.4
Hardeman	4,724	4,516	4,486	-208	-238	-4.4	-5.0
Hardin	48,073	49,275	49,428	1,202	1,355	2.5	2.8
Harris	3,400,578	3,590,921	3,616,693	190,343	216,115	5.6	6.4
Harrison	62,110	62,662	62,743	552	633	0.9	1.0
Hartley	5,537	5,445	5,306	-92	-231	-1.7	-4.2
Haskell	6,093	5,870	5,834	-223	-259	-3.7	-4.3
Hays	97,589	115,967	118,556	18,378	20,967	18.8	21.5
Hemphill	3,351	3,344	3,263	-7	-88	-0.2	-2.6
Henderson	73,277	75,959	76,202	2,682	2,925	3.7	4.0
Hidalgo	569,463	635,851	646,519	66,388	77,056	11.7	13.5
Hill	32,321	33,687	33,642	1,366	1,321	4.2	4.1
Hockley	22,716	22,606	22,643	-110	-73	-0.5	-0.3
Hood	41,100	44,583	45,013	3,483	3,913	8.5	9.5
Hopkins	31,960	32,478	32,439	518	479	1.6	1.5
Houston	23,185	23,577	23,638	392	453	1.7	2.0
Howard	33,627	33,641	33,524	14	-103	0.0	-0.3
Hudspeth	3,344	3,499	3,494	155	150	4.6	4.5
Hunt	76,596	80,385	80,677	3,789	4,081	4.9	5.3
Hutchinson	23,857	22,990	22,827	-867	-1,030	-3.6	-4.3
Irion	1,771	1,791	1,783	20	12	1.1	0.7
Jack	8,763	8,791	8,812	28	49	0.3	0.6
Jackson	14,391	14,660	14,653	269	262	1.9	1.8
Jasper	35,604	35,910	35,884	306	280	0.9	0.8

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2003 Population Estimate	January 1, 2004 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-03	Numerical Change 2000-04	Percent Change 2000-03	Percent Change 2000-04
Jeff Davis	2,207	2,205	2,227	-2	20	-0.1	0.9
Jefferson	252,051	250,707	250,086	-1,344	-1,965	-0.5	-0.8
Jim Hogg	5,281	5,230	5,173	-51	-108	-1.0	-2.0
Jim Wells	39,326	39,770	39,575	444	249	1.1	0.6
Johnson	126,811	140,077	141,859	13,266	15,048	10.5	11.9
Jones	20,785	20,407	20,301	-378	-484	-1.8	-2.3
Karnes	15,446	15,371	15,269	-75	-177	-0.5	-1.1
Kaufman	71,313	81,637	83,284	10,324	11,971	14.5	16.8
Kendall	23,743	26,439	26,842	2,696	3,099	11.4	13.1
Kenedy	414	398	395	-16	-19	-3.9	-4.6
Kent	859	822	808	-37	-51	-4.3	-5.9
Kerr	43,653	45,336	45,660	1,683	2,007	3.9	4.6
Kimble	4,468	4,489	4,475	21	7	0.5	0.2
King	356	344	347	-12	-9	-3.4	-2.5
Kinney	3,379	3,347	3,284	-32	-95	-0.9	-2.8
Kleberg	31,549	31,511	31,610	-38	61	-0.1	0.2
Knox	4,253	4,097	4,051	-156	-202	-3.7	-4.7
Lamar	48,499	49,291	49,228	792	729	1.6	1.5
Lamb	14,709	14,699	14,686	-10	-23	-0.1	-0.2
Lampasas	17,762	19,327	19,539	1,565	1,777	8.8	10.0
La Salle	5,866	5,865	5,863	-1	-3	0.0	-0.1
Lavaca	19,210	19,443	19,420	233	210	1.2	1.1
Lee	15,657	16,524	16,631	867	974	5.5	6.2
Leon	15,335	16,068	16,198	733	863	4.8	5.6
Liberty	70,154	75,246	75,829	5,092	5,675	7.3	8.1
Limestone	22,051	22,435	22,388	384	337	1.7	1.5
Lipscomb	3,057	3,035	3,043	-22	-14	-0.7	-0.5
Live Oak	12,309	12,417	12,464	108	155	0.9	1.3
Llano	17,044	18,142	18,221	1,098	1,177	6.4	6.9
Loving	67	63	61	-4	-6	-6.0	-9.0
Lubbock	242,628	250,218	251,701	7,590	9,073	3.1	3.7
Lynn	6,550	6,412	6,400	-138	-150	-2.1	-2.3
McCulloch	8,205	8,226	8,235	21	30	0.3	0.4
McLennan	213,517	220,123	221,347	6,606	7,830	3.1	3.7
McMullen	851	872	876	21	25	2.5	2.9
Madison	12,940	13,275	13,325	335	385	2.6	3.0
Marion	10,941	10,719	10,693	-222	-248	-2.0	-2.3
Martin	4,746	4,625	4,590	-121	-156	-2.5	-3.3
Mason	3,738	3,770	3,771	32	33	0.9	0.9
Matagorda	37,957	38,007	38,036	50	79	0.1	0.2
Maverick	47,297	50,006	50,722	2,709	3,425	5.7	7.2
Medina	39,304	41,479	41,819	2,175	2,515	5.5	6.4
Menard	2,360	2,375	2,379	15	19	0.6	0.8
Midland	116,009	118,533	119,104	2,524	3,095	2.2	2.7
Milam	24,238	25,190	25,334	952	1,096	3.9	4.5
Mills	5,151	5,064	5,069	-87	-82	-1.7	-1.6
Mitchell	9,698	9,617	9,449	-81	-249	-0.8	-2.6
Montague	19,117	19,541	19,685	424	568	2.2	3.0
Montgomery	293,768	341,953	349,955	48,185	56,187	16.4	19.1
Moore	20,121	20,229	20,359	108	238	0.5	1.2
Morris	13,048	12,832	12,784	-216	-264	-1.7	-2.0
Motley	1,426	1,353	1,330	-73	-96	-5.1	-6.7
Nacogdoches	59,203	60,735	60,829	1,532	1,626	2.6	2.7
Navarro	45,124	47,680	48,121	2,556	2,997	5.7	6.6
Newton	15,072	14,787	14,678	-285	-394	-1.9	-2.6
Nolan	15,802	15,325	15,212	-477	-590	-3.0	-3.7
Nueces	313,645	314,339	313,870	694	225	0.2	0.1
Ochiltree	9,006	8,984	8,943	-22	-63	-0.2	-0.7
Oldham	2,185	2,195	2,190	10	5	0.5	0.2
Orange	84,966	85,115	84,914	149	-52	0.2	-0.1
Palo Pinto	27,026	27,341	27,308	315	282	1.2	1.0
Panola	22,756	22,684	22,644	-72	-112	-0.3	-0.5
Parker	88,495	98,793	99,940	10,298	11,445	11.6	12.9

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2003 Population Estimate	January 1, 2004 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-03	Numerical Change 2000-04	Percent Change 2000-03	Percent Change 2000-04
Parmer	10,016	9,779	9,755	-237	-261	-2.4	-2.6
Pecos	16,809	16,232	16,145	-577	-664	-3.4	-4.0
Polk	41,133	43,766	44,196	2,633	3,063	6.4	7.4
Potter	113,546	117,550	118,585	4,004	5,039	3.5	4.4
Presidio	7,304	7,747	7,787	443	483	6.1	6.6
Rains	9,139	10,606	10,869	1,467	1,730	16.1	18.9
Randall	104,312	109,186	109,646	4,874	5,334	4.7	5.1
Reagan	3,326	3,173	3,142	-153	-184	-4.6	-5.5
Real	3,047	3,079	3,069	32	22	1.1	0.7
Red River	14,314	14,178	14,189	-136	-125	-1.0	-0.9
Reeves	13,137	12,782	12,769	-355	-368	-2.7	-2.8
Refugio	7,828	7,482	7,422	-346	-406	-4.4	-5.2
Roberts	887	827	815	-60	-72	-6.8	-8.1
Robertson	16,000	15,910	15,747	-90	-253	-0.6	-1.6
Rockwall	43,080	55,212	57,616	12,132	14,536	28.2	33.7
Runnels	11,495	11,141	11,038	-354	-457	-3.1	-4.0
Rusk	47,372	47,881	47,918	509	546	1.1	1.2
Sabine	10,469	10,121	9,980	-348	-489	-3.3	-4.7
San Augustine	8,946	8,973	8,871	27	-75	0.3	-0.8
San Jacinto	22,246	23,001	23,014	755	768	3.4	3.5
San Patricio	67,138	68,251	68,629	1,113	1,491	1.7	2.2
San Saba	6,186	6,199	6,162	13	-24	0.2	-0.4
Schleicher	2,935	2,895	2,886	-40	-49	-1.4	-1.7
Scurry	16,361	16,093	16,164	-268	-197	-1.6	-1.2
Shackelford	3,302	3,183	3,139	-119	-163	-3.6	-4.9
Shelby	25,224	25,421	25,339	197	115	0.8	0.5
Sherman	3,186	3,205	3,243	19	57	0.6	1.8
Smith	174,706	183,582	184,541	8,876	9,835	5.1	5.6
Somervell	6,809	7,572	7,639	763	830	11.2	12.2
Starr	53,597	57,541	58,245	3,944	4,648	7.4	8.7
Stephens	9,674	9,678	9,681	4	7	0.0	0.1
Sterling	1,393	1,375	1,403	-18	10	-1.3	0.7
Stonewall	1,693	1,564	1,560	-129	-133	-7.6	-7.9
Sutton	4,077	4,089	4,077	12	0	0.3	0.0
Swisher	8,378	7,942	7,857	-436	-521	-5.2	-6.2
Tarrant	1,446,219	1,559,086	1,576,125	112,867	129,906	7.8	9.0
Taylor	126,555	125,751	125,687	-804	-868	-0.6	-0.7
Terrell	1,081	976	992	-105	-89	-9.7	-8.2
Terry	12,761	12,366	12,369	-395	-392	-3.1	-3.1
Throckmorton	1,850	1,598	1,543	-252	-307	-13.6	-16.6
Titus	28,118	28,990	29,188	872	1,070	3.1	3.8
Tom Green	104,010	103,746	103,647	-264	-363	-0.3	-0.3
Travis	812,280	859,408	869,169	47,128	56,889	5.8	7.0
Trinity	13,779	13,883	13,822	104	43	0.8	0.3
Tyler	20,871	21,127	21,302	256	431	1.2	2.1
Upshur	35,291	36,356	36,542	1,065	1,251	3.0	3.5
Upton	3,404	3,225	3,149	-179	-255	-5.3	-7.5
Uvalde	25,926	26,084	26,257	158	331	0.6	1.3
Val Verde	44,856	46,471	46,739	1,615	1,883	3.6	4.2
Van Zandt	48,140	50,390	50,482	2,250	2,342	4.7	4.9
Victoria	84,088	85,051	85,056	963	968	1.1	1.2
Walker	61,758	63,297	62,904	1,539	1,146	2.5	1.9
Waller	32,663	35,717	35,973	3,054	3,310	9.4	10.1
Ward	10,909	10,397	10,204	-512	-705	-4.7	-6.5
Washington	30,373	30,993	31,025	620	652	2.0	2.1
Webb	193,117	215,269	218,704	22,152	25,587	11.5	13.2
Wharton	41,188	42,107	42,212	919	1,024	2.2	2.5
Wheeler	5,284	4,983	4,930	-301	-354	-5.7	-6.7
Wichita	131,664	130,810	130,144	-854	-1,520	-0.6	-1.2
Wilbarger	14,676	14,318	14,317	-358	-359	-2.4	-2.4
Willacy	20,082	20,532	20,667	450	585	2.2	2.9
Williamson	249,967	295,368	301,530	45,401	51,563	18.2	20.6
Wilson	32,408	35,769	36,211	3,361	3,803	10.4	11.7

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2003 Population Estimate	January 1, 2004 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-03	Numerical Change 2000-04	Percent Change 2000-03	Percent Change 2000-04
Winkler	7,173	6,730	6,620	-443	-553	-6.2	-7.7
Wise	48,793	52,888	53,525	4,095	4,732	8.4	9.7
Wood	36,752	38,688	38,800	1,936	2,048	5.3	5.6
Yoakum	7,322	7,140	7,119	-182	-203	-2.5	-2.8
Young	17,943	17,929	17,963	-14	20	-0.1	0.1
Zapata	12,182	13,734	14,112	1,552	1,930	12.7	15.8
Zavala	11,600	11,426	11,376	-174	-224	-1.5	-1.9
State of Texas	20,851,820	22,118,509	22,293,020	1,266,689	1,441,200	6.1	6.9

Source: Texas State Data Center, Population Estimates and Projections Program