

Estimates of the Total Populations of Counties and Places in Texas
for July 1, 2005 and January 1, 2006

produced by:

Population Estimates and Projections Program
Texas State Data Center
Office of the State Demographer
Institute for Demographic and Socioeconomic Research
College of Public Policy
University of Texas at San Antonio

November 2006

The attached are estimates of the total population for counties and places in Texas for July 1, 2005 and January 1, 2006 completed by personnel from the Texas State Data Center offices in the Institute for Demographic and Socioeconomic Research at The University of Texas at San Antonio. In this brief report, the methodology used to prepare the estimates is described. Because of space limitations, only a summary of the methodology is presented. Those wishing to obtain a more complete description of the estimation procedures and of the historical and sensitivity analyses used to select the methods employed in these estimates should contact program personnel in the Texas State Data Center at The University of Texas at San Antonio.

Estimation Methodology

Methodology for County Estimates

The estimates reported for counties are the averages of estimates made using ratio-correlation, component-method II, and housing-unit methods. Ratio-correlation procedures utilize multiple regression techniques with the ratio of variable values for adjacent time periods rather than simply the variable values themselves being used as independent and dependent variables. After an extensive evaluation of the relative accuracy of alternative procedures (including difference-rate, ratio-correlation and rate-correlation methods) and an analysis of alternative variables, a simple ratio-correlation model was employed to complete the final estimates. This model used the variables of births, deaths, elementary school enrollment, vehicle registration, and voter registration.

The component-method II procedure employed utilizes data on births, deaths and elementary school enrollment to estimate population. In this method, migration of the school-age population is assumed to be indicative of migration in the total population (with adjustments being made for the historical differences between the school-age migration rate and the total population's rate of migration). Data on public school enrollment from the Texas Education Agency and data from the Texas State Data Center's survey of private schools in Texas are used to estimate change in the school-age population. Data on institutional populations were obtained from applicable institutions, while data on other special populations, such as the elderly population were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

The housing-unit method used is of the standard form with change in the number of housing units in the housing stock of an area, from the base date (in this case, the 2000 Census) to the estimate date (in this case, July 1, 2005), being used to estimate population change. New housing additions and demolitions are taken from the U.S. Bureau of the Census survey of building permits and demolitions and the Texas State Data Center survey of counties and cities issuing permits for residential buildings and demolitions. Both the U.S. Census Bureau's building permit survey and the Texas State Data Center's survey can only collect data from permit issuing county and city jurisdictions (methods for dealing with non-permit issuing places are discussed later). Assumptions about vacancy rates and average household size are then used in conjunction with data on the number of housing units in an estimate area (including those in the area at the base date and the net number of units added to, or subtracted from, the base housing stock for the time period between the base date and the estimate date). Separate estimates are completed by type of structure with the types used being single-family structures, 2-to-4 unit structures, structures with 5 or more units, and mobile homes. For purposes of the 2005 estimates, 2000 vacancy rates and average household sizes for each of the housing structure types were assumed to prevail as of the estimate date of July 1, 2005. For 2005, the estimates of

the number of new mobile homes added to an area's housing stock were obtained from the Texas State Data Center's survey of building permits and demolitions. The sum of mobile homes from the survey was subtracted from the U.S. Bureau of the Census' estimate of the total number of mobile homes shipped to Texas. The difference was allocated to jurisdictions on the basis of the change in units in jurisdictions for other housing types from 2000 to the estimate date of July 1, 2005.

The average of the component-method II, ratio-correlation and housing-unit population estimates is used as the population estimate for July 1, 2005 with the total for all counties being controlled to the July 1, 2005 estimate for the State obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Prior to the release of these estimates, county estimates were evaluated for consistency and reasonableness by comparing them to those from other State and local agencies.

The January 1, 2006 estimates are obtained by adding births to, and subtracting deaths from July 1, 2005 through December 31, 2005, to the July 1, 2005 estimates and assuming that July 1, 2004 to July 1, 2005 rates of migration continue from July 1, 2005 to January 1, 2006. The State and county estimates are obtained using the same method with the sum of the county estimates controlled to the State estimate.

The values for January 1, 2006 DO NOT account for any hurricane-related effects. These effects cannot be accurately estimated with the data available to date but should be at least partially accounted for in the next year's set of estimates.

Methodology for Place Estimates

For places, population estimates were made using the same three methods as used for county estimates. To complete the component-method II estimates for places for 2005, standard component procedures were applied to 2000 Census population counts for places. County-level birth and death data for 2000-05 from the Texas Department of State Health Services and 2000-05 data from the Texas Education Agency on public school enrollment and from the Texas State Data Center survey of private schools on enrollment in private schools were used in this procedure. In addition, data on Medicare enrollment and on the net movement of persons from the military to the civilian population were obtained for counties from the U.S. Bureau of the Census. Values for each of these items were allocated from counties to places prior to the completion of the place estimates. Such allocation procedures were necessary because data items that were available for places (such as birth and death data) showed year-to-year fluctuations and reporting errors that made the direct use of place-level data problematic. The general allocation procedures used for these items involved population subgroups closely associated with the item being allocated (i.e., women of child-bearing age for fertility, school-age population for school enrollment, the total population for deaths, persons 65+ years of age for Medicare enrollment, and the population 14-17 years of age for net movement). The number in the appropriate subgroups for each place and the remainder of the county in each county in 2000 were survived (using state-level survival rates for 1999-2001) to July 1, 2005, and the sum of the survived

groups in each place and the remainder of the county were controlled to the county total for the item as reported from the appropriate agency to obtain the value for each place. Place estimates were completed for July 1, 2005 and adjusted to account for population changes due to annexations or other boundary changes as obtained from the annual Texas State Data Center Boundary and Annexation Survey.

The housing unit estimates for places were completed using the same general procedures delineated above (for counties) except that it was necessary to use procedures to allocate new housing units and demolitions to places that were not reporting jurisdictions. This was done by taking the difference between the county totals for new building permits and demolitions and the sum of values for places for which data were reported for a county and proportionally allocating the difference to the nonreporting places. For the 2005 estimates, the allocation was done on the basis of the nonreporting places' proportions of county housing stocks as reported in the 2000 Census.

The third method used is the ratio-correlation method. Ratio correlation estimates were made to allocate county populations to places (and non-place areas) using births, deaths and housing units for places as estimation items.

The estimates for place populations from the three methods were averaged to provide a July 1, 2005 estimate of the total population for each place. The sum of the estimated populations for places in each county (and for that part of each county's population not living in places) were controlled to county totals to ensure consistency with the county estimates.

The January 1, 2006 place estimates are prepared using the same extrapolative procedures as described above for the State and county. Place estimates for each county for January 1, 2006 are controlled to the county estimate for January 1, 2006.

Comparisons to U.S. Census Bureau Estimates

The estimates presented here differ from those from sources such as those periodically produced by the U.S. Census Bureau for several reasons. These estimates have been made using techniques that are different than those used by the Bureau. The Census Bureau uses only the distributive housing unit method to estimate place populations and the administrative records method to estimate county populations. Because the administrative records method uses income tax data that are not available to analysts outside the Census Bureau, this technique can not be used by other agencies. In addition, the estimates reported in the following pages utilize more recent data than those used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census. The Census Bureau's county estimates utilize 2004 birth and death data, whereas 2005 values were employed in the Texas State Data Center estimates reported here. Also, the Census Bureau utilizes birth and death data only in their county level estimates while the Texas State Data Center includes current births and deaths in both county and place level estimates. Finally, the Census Bureau estimates do not include information on annexation and boundary changes for places later than 2003 whereas

information through 2005 was included in the estimates completed by the Texas program. Because of these differences, the population estimates presented here and those from the U.S. Bureau of the Census are not directly comparable.

If you have any questions concerning these estimates, please contact:

Dr. Steve H. Murdock, State Demographer
Texas State Data Center
Institute for Demographic and Socioeconomic Research
University of Texas at San Antonio
One UTSA Circle
San Antonio, Texas 78249-0704
(210) 458-6543

Table 1

Texas State Data Center Population Estimates Program July 1, 2005 and January 1, 2006 Estimates of the Total Population of Counties and 2000-2005 and 2000-2006 Population Change for All Counties in Texas

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2005 Population Estimate	January 1, 2006 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-05	Numerical Change 2000-06	Percent Change 2000-05	Percent Change 2000-06
Anderson	55,109	55,965	55,949	856	840	1.6	1.5
Andrews	13,004	12,763	12,696	-241	-308	-1.9	-2.4
Angelina	80,130	82,055	82,207	1,925	2,077	2.4	2.6
Aransas	22,497	24,521	24,745	2,024	2,248	9.0	10.0
Archer	8,854	9,172	9,127	318	273	3.6	3.1
Armstrong	2,148	2,150	2,149	2	1	0.1	0.0
Atascosa	38,628	41,892	41,528	3,264	2,900	8.4	7.5
Austin	23,590	26,151	26,327	2,561	2,737	10.9	11.6
Bailey	6,594	6,551	6,548	-43	-46	-0.7	-0.7
Bandera	17,645	19,529	19,524	1,884	1,879	10.7	10.6
Bastrop	57,733	69,516	70,139	11,783	12,406	20.4	21.5
Baylor	4,093	4,020	4,008	-73	-85	-1.8	-2.1
Bee	32,359	33,211	33,215	852	856	2.6	2.6
Bell	237,974	260,526	264,960	22,552	26,986	9.5	11.3
Bexar	1,392,931	1,510,556	1,522,142	117,625	129,211	8.4	9.3
Blanco	8,418	9,387	9,489	969	1,071	11.5	12.7
Borden	729	719	724	-10	-5	-1.4	-0.7
Bosque	17,204	18,156	18,303	952	1,099	5.5	6.4
Bowie	89,306	92,271	92,735	2,965	3,429	3.3	3.8
Brazoria	241,767	276,956	280,276	35,189	38,509	14.6	15.9
Brazos	152,415	160,863	160,661	8,448	8,246	5.5	5.4
Brewster	8,866	9,204	9,189	338	323	3.8	3.6
Briscoe	1,790	1,547	1,494	-243	-296	-13.6	-16.5
Brooks	7,976	7,627	7,508	-349	-468	-4.4	-5.9
Brown	37,674	38,181	38,147	507	473	1.3	1.3
Burleson	16,470	17,835	18,000	1,365	1,530	8.3	9.3
Burnet	34,147	39,489	40,116	5,342	5,969	15.6	17.5
Caldwell	32,194	35,426	35,383	3,232	3,189	10.0	9.9
Calhoun	20,647	20,600	20,561	-47	-86	-0.2	-0.4
Callahan	12,905	13,495	13,576	590	671	4.6	5.2
Cameron	335,227	378,074	380,992	42,847	45,765	12.8	13.7
Camp	11,549	12,634	12,712	1,085	1,163	9.4	10.1
Carson	6,516	6,485	6,522	-31	6	-0.5	0.1
Cass	30,438	30,320	30,302	-118	-136	-0.4	-0.4
Castro	8,285	7,668	7,657	-617	-628	-7.4	-7.6
Chambers	26,031	31,805	33,037	5,774	7,006	22.2	26.9
Cherokee	46,659	48,486	48,741	1,827	2,082	3.9	4.5
Childress	7,688	7,692	7,716	4	28	0.1	0.4
Clay	11,006	11,274	11,216	268	210	2.4	1.9
Cochran	3,730	3,578	3,605	-152	-125	-4.1	-3.4
Coke	3,864	3,969	4,010	105	146	2.7	3.8
Coleman	9,235	8,869	8,754	-366	-481	-4.0	-5.2
Collin	491,675	655,687	671,909	164,012	180,234	33.4	36.7
Collingsworth	3,206	3,041	3,049	-165	-157	-5.1	-4.9
Colorado	20,390	21,039	20,935	649	545	3.2	2.7
Comal	78,021	95,549	97,990	17,528	19,969	22.5	25.6
Comanche	14,026	13,978	13,946	-48	-80	-0.3	-0.6
Concho	3,966	3,848	3,826	-118	-140	-3.0	-3.5
Cooke	36,363	38,843	38,957	2,480	2,594	6.8	7.1
Coryell	74,978	75,482	75,963	504	985	0.7	1.3
Cottle	1,904	1,654	1,659	-250	-245	-13.1	-12.9
Crane	3,996	3,842	3,786	-154	-210	-3.9	-5.3
Crockett	4,099	4,032	4,011	-67	-88	-1.6	-2.1
Crosby	7,072	6,612	6,641	-460	-431	-6.5	-6.1
Culberson	2,975	2,692	2,663	-283	-312	-9.5	-10.5
Dallam	6,222	6,238	6,217	16	-5	0.3	-0.1

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2005 Population Estimate	January 1, 2006 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-05	Numerical Change 2000-06	Percent Change 2000-05	Percent Change 2000-06
Dallas	2,218,899	2,300,359	2,304,909	81,460	86,010	3.7	3.9
Dawson	14,985	14,315	14,387	-670	-598	-4.5	-4.0
Deaf Smith	18,561	18,602	18,616	41	55	0.2	0.3
Delta	5,327	5,273	5,237	-54	-90	-1.0	-1.7
Denton	432,976	558,450	571,415	125,474	138,439	29.0	32.0
De Witt	20,013	20,600	20,713	587	700	2.9	3.5
Dickens	2,762	2,849	2,851	87	89	3.1	3.2
Dimmit	10,248	10,081	10,033	-167	-215	-1.6	-2.1
Donley	3,828	3,902	3,860	74	32	1.9	0.8
Duval	13,120	12,882	12,813	-238	-307	-1.8	-2.3
Eastland	18,297	18,460	18,465	163	168	0.9	0.9
Ector	121,123	124,549	124,510	3,426	3,387	2.8	2.8
Edwards	2,162	2,057	2,056	-105	-106	-4.9	-4.9
Ellis	111,360	133,010	134,895	21,650	23,535	19.4	21.1
El Paso	679,622	726,006	731,534	46,384	51,912	6.8	7.6
Erath	33,001	33,999	34,124	998	1,123	3.0	3.4
Falls	18,576	18,110	18,015	-466	-561	-2.5	-3.0
Fannin	31,242	33,843	34,071	2,601	2,829	8.3	9.1
Fayette	21,804	23,019	23,019	1,215	1,215	5.6	5.6
Fisher	4,344	4,257	4,307	-87	-37	-2.0	-0.9
Floyd	7,771	7,084	7,007	-687	-764	-8.8	-9.8
Foard	1,622	1,479	1,460	-143	-162	-8.8	-10.0
Fort Bend	354,452	455,991	467,792	101,539	113,340	28.6	32.0
Franklin	9,458	10,046	10,166	588	708	6.2	7.5
Freestone	17,867	19,048	19,156	1,181	1,289	6.6	7.2
Frio	16,252	16,376	16,490	124	238	0.8	1.5
Gaines	14,467	14,897	15,037	430	570	3.0	3.9
Galveston	250,158	275,338	277,459	25,180	27,301	10.1	10.9
Garza	4,872	4,946	4,903	74	31	1.5	0.6
Gillespie	20,814	23,532	23,983	2,718	3,169	13.1	15.2
Glasscock	1,406	1,261	1,319	-145	-87	-10.3	-6.2
Goliad	6,928	7,103	7,101	175	173	2.5	2.5
Gonzales	18,628	19,489	19,463	861	835	4.6	4.5
Gray	22,744	22,154	22,153	-590	-591	-2.6	-2.6
Grayson	110,595	117,320	117,822	6,725	7,227	6.1	6.5
Gregg	111,379	114,885	115,159	3,506	3,780	3.1	3.4
Grimes	23,552	24,831	24,899	1,279	1,347	5.4	5.7
Guadalupe	89,023	104,227	105,077	15,204	16,054	17.1	18.0
Hale	36,602	36,104	36,005	-498	-597	-1.4	-1.6
Hall	3,782	3,732	3,731	-50	-51	-1.3	-1.3
Hamilton	8,229	8,303	8,337	74	108	0.9	1.3
Hansford	5,369	5,312	5,293	-57	-76	-1.1	-1.4
Hardeman	4,724	4,515	4,440	-209	-284	-4.4	-6.0
Hardin	48,073	50,197	50,168	2,124	2,095	4.4	4.4
Harris	3,400,578	3,693,816	3,717,506	293,238	316,928	8.6	9.3
Harrison	62,110	63,315	63,581	1,205	1,471	1.9	2.4
Hartley	5,537	5,434	5,455	-103	-82	-1.9	-1.5
Haskell	6,093	5,641	5,505	-452	-588	-7.4	-9.7
Hays	97,589	126,206	129,129	28,617	31,540	29.3	32.3
Hemphill	3,351	3,441	3,499	90	148	2.7	4.4
Henderson	73,277	78,601	79,058	5,324	5,781	7.3	7.9
Hidalgo	569,463	677,902	688,029	108,439	118,566	19.0	20.8
Hill	32,321	34,319	34,417	1,998	2,096	6.2	6.5
Hockley	22,716	22,548	22,467	-168	-249	-0.7	-1.1
Hood	41,100	47,542	48,309	6,442	7,209	15.7	17.5
Hopkins	31,960	33,298	33,332	1,338	1,372	4.2	4.3
Houston	23,185	23,330	23,304	145	119	0.6	0.5
Howard	33,627	33,082	33,029	-545	-598	-1.6	-1.8
Hudspeth	3,344	3,566	3,556	222	212	6.6	6.3
Hunt	76,596	82,396	82,854	5,800	6,258	7.6	8.2
Hutchinson	23,857	22,395	22,283	-1,462	-1,574	-6.1	-6.6

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2005 Population Estimate	January 1, 2006 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-05	Numerical Change 2000-06	Percent Change 2000-05	Percent Change 2000-06
Irion	1,771	1,768	1,761	-3	-10	-0.2	-0.6
Jack	8,763	8,740	8,705	-23	-58	-0.3	-0.7
Jackson	14,391	14,476	14,487	85	96	0.6	0.7
Jasper	35,604	35,489	35,338	-115	-266	-0.3	-0.7
Jeff Davis	2,207	2,503	2,567	296	360	13.4	16.3
Jefferson	252,051	247,322	245,793	-4,729	-6,258	-1.9	-2.5
Jim Hogg	5,281	5,075	4,991	-206	-290	-3.9	-5.5
Jim Wells	39,326	40,570	40,671	1,244	1,345	3.2	3.4
Johnson	126,811	146,338	147,695	19,527	20,884	15.4	16.5
Jones	20,785	20,647	20,675	-138	-110	-0.7	-0.5
Karnes	15,446	15,324	15,292	-122	-154	-0.8	-1.0
Kaufman	71,313	89,390	91,610	18,077	20,297	25.3	28.5
Kendall	23,743	28,984	29,532	5,241	5,789	22.1	24.4
Kenedy	414	381	385	-33	-29	-8.0	-7.0
Kent	859	782	769	-77	-90	-9.0	-10.5
Kerr	43,653	46,846	47,265	3,193	3,612	7.3	8.3
Kimble	4,468	4,589	4,633	121	165	2.7	3.7
King	356	326	319	-30	-37	-8.4	-10.4
Kinney	3,379	3,336	3,335	-43	-44	-1.3	-1.3
Kleberg	31,549	30,729	30,236	-820	-1,313	-2.6	-4.2
Knox	4,253	3,985	3,981	-268	-272	-6.3	-6.4
Lamar	48,499	49,780	49,706	1,281	1,207	2.6	2.5
Lamb	14,709	14,797	14,805	88	96	0.6	0.7
Lampasas	17,762	19,825	19,615	2,063	1,853	11.6	10.4
La Salle	5,866	5,974	5,994	108	128	1.8	2.2
Lavaca	19,210	19,381	19,371	171	161	0.9	0.8
Lee	15,657	16,594	16,559	937	902	6.0	5.8
Leon	15,335	16,248	16,252	913	917	6.0	6.0
Liberty	70,154	76,716	76,943	6,562	6,789	9.4	9.7
Limestone	22,051	22,634	22,707	583	656	2.6	3.0
Lipscomb	3,057	3,154	3,215	97	158	3.2	5.2
Live Oak	12,309	12,254	12,263	-55	-46	-0.4	-0.4
Llano	17,044	18,570	18,661	1,526	1,617	9.0	9.5
Loving	67	64	65	-3	-2	-4.5	-3.0
Lubbock	242,628	250,276	250,638	7,648	8,010	3.2	3.3
Lynn	6,550	6,241	6,206	-309	-344	-4.7	-5.3
McCulloch	8,205	8,140	8,134	-65	-71	-0.8	-0.9
McLennan	213,517	222,313	223,567	8,796	10,050	4.1	4.7
McMullen	851	865	866	14	15	1.6	1.8
Madison	12,940	13,453	13,525	513	585	4.0	4.5
Marion	10,941	10,909	10,864	-32	-77	-0.3	-0.7
Martin	4,746	4,676	4,703	-70	-43	-1.5	-0.9
Mason	3,738	3,882	3,878	144	140	3.9	3.7
Matagorda	37,957	37,331	37,113	-626	-844	-1.6	-2.2
Maverick	47,297	51,289	51,585	3,992	4,288	8.4	9.1
Medina	39,304	42,784	43,075	3,480	3,771	8.9	9.6
Menard	2,360	2,330	2,314	-30	-46	-1.3	-1.9
Midland	116,009	119,636	119,659	3,627	3,650	3.1	3.1
Milam	24,238	25,548	25,633	1,310	1,395	5.4	5.8
Mills	5,151	5,291	5,391	140	240	2.7	4.7
Mitchell	9,698	9,576	9,565	-122	-133	-1.3	-1.4
Montague	19,117	19,677	19,725	560	608	2.9	3.2
Montgomery	293,768	375,689	383,975	81,921	90,207	27.9	30.7
Moore	20,121	20,014	19,919	-107	-202	-0.5	-1.0
Morris	13,048	12,964	12,940	-84	-108	-0.6	-0.8
Motley	1,426	1,331	1,325	-95	-101	-6.7	-7.1
Nacogdoches	59,203	61,585	61,553	2,382	2,350	4.0	4.0
Navarro	45,124	48,100	48,404	2,976	3,280	6.6	7.3
Newton	15,072	14,577	14,478	-495	-594	-3.3	-3.9
Nolan	15,802	15,167	15,156	-635	-646	-4.0	-4.1
Nueces	313,645	317,144	317,677	3,499	4,032	1.1	1.3

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2005 Population Estimate	January 1, 2006 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-05	Numerical Change 2000-06	Percent Change 2000-05	Percent Change 2000-06
Ochiltree	9,006	9,166	9,148	160	142	1.8	1.6
Oldham	2,185	2,204	2,205	19	20	0.9	0.9
Orange	84,966	84,245	83,959	-721	-1,007	-0.8	-1.2
Palo Pinto	27,026	27,727	27,907	701	881	2.6	3.3
Panola	22,756	23,048	23,206	292	450	1.3	2.0
Parker	88,495	103,549	104,548	15,054	16,053	17.0	18.1
Parmer	10,016	9,854	9,859	-162	-157	-1.6	-1.6
Pecos	16,809	16,239	16,239	-570	-570	-3.4	-3.4
Polk	41,133	45,842	46,283	4,709	5,150	11.4	12.5
Potter	113,546	119,377	119,922	5,831	6,376	5.1	5.6
Presidio	7,304	7,954	7,997	650	693	8.9	9.5
Rains	9,139	10,602	10,740	1,463	1,601	16.0	17.5
Randall	104,312	110,028	110,082	5,716	5,770	5.5	5.5
Reagan	3,326	3,103	3,094	-223	-232	-6.7	-7.0
Real	3,047	3,256	3,295	209	248	6.9	8.1
Red River	14,314	14,003	13,944	-311	-370	-2.2	-2.6
Reeves	13,137	11,624	11,508	-1,513	-1,629	-11.5	-12.4
Refugio	7,828	7,517	7,450	-311	-378	-4.0	-4.8
Roberts	887	870	866	-17	-21	-1.9	-2.4
Robertson	16,000	16,247	16,326	247	326	1.5	2.0
Rockwall	43,080	61,786	63,986	18,706	20,906	43.4	48.5
Runnels	11,495	11,241	11,316	-254	-179	-2.2	-1.6
Rusk	47,372	47,671	47,319	299	-53	0.6	-0.1
Sabine	10,469	10,405	10,323	-64	-146	-0.6	-1.4
San Augustine	8,946	9,059	9,084	113	138	1.3	1.5
San Jacinto	22,246	24,583	25,202	2,337	2,956	10.5	13.3
San Patricio	67,138	69,281	69,252	2,143	2,114	3.2	3.1
San Saba	6,186	6,178	6,200	-8	14	-0.1	0.2
Schleicher	2,935	2,858	2,821	-77	-114	-2.6	-3.9
Scurry	16,361	15,934	15,860	-427	-501	-2.6	-3.1
Shackelford	3,302	3,258	3,247	-44	-55	-1.3	-1.7
Shelby	25,224	25,466	25,376	242	152	1.0	0.6
Sherman	3,186	3,353	3,458	167	272	5.2	8.5
Smith	174,706	190,019	191,711	15,313	17,005	8.8	9.7
Somervell	6,809	7,753	7,813	944	1,004	13.9	14.7
Starr	53,597	61,193	61,886	7,596	8,289	14.2	15.5
Stephens	9,674	9,595	9,603	-79	-71	-0.8	-0.7
Sterling	1,393	1,335	1,350	-58	-43	-4.2	-3.1
Stonewall	1,693	1,479	1,446	-214	-247	-12.6	-14.6
Sutton	4,077	4,162	4,169	85	92	2.1	2.3
Swisher	8,378	8,082	8,161	-296	-217	-3.5	-2.6
Tarrant	1,446,219	1,621,055	1,636,492	174,836	190,273	12.1	13.2
Taylor	126,555	127,816	127,745	1,261	1,190	1.0	0.9
Terrell	1,081	1,033	1,037	-48	-44	-4.4	-4.1
Terry	12,761	12,477	12,524	-284	-237	-2.2	-1.9
Throckmorton	1,850	1,610	1,580	-240	-270	-13.0	-14.6
Titus	28,118	29,698	29,683	1,580	1,565	5.6	5.6
Tom Green	104,010	102,748	102,277	-1,262	-1,733	-1.2	-1.7
Travis	812,280	896,753	907,922	84,473	95,642	10.4	11.8
Trinity	13,779	14,352	14,381	573	602	4.2	4.4
Tyler	20,871	21,199	21,146	328	275	1.6	1.3
Upshur	35,291	36,898	37,063	1,607	1,772	4.6	5.0
Upton	3,404	3,087	3,035	-317	-369	-9.3	-10.8
Uvalde	25,926	26,669	26,716	743	790	2.9	3.0
Val Verde	44,856	47,268	47,255	2,412	2,399	5.4	5.3
Van Zandt	48,140	50,662	50,433	2,522	2,293	5.2	4.8
Victoria	84,088	85,455	85,427	1,367	1,339	1.6	1.6
Walker	61,758	63,567	63,602	1,809	1,844	2.9	3.0
Waller	32,663	36,550	36,605	3,887	3,942	11.9	12.1
Ward	10,909	10,449	10,433	-460	-476	-4.2	-4.4
Washington	30,373	31,799	31,911	1,426	1,538	4.7	5.1

County	2000 Census Count	July 1, 2005 Population Estimate	January 1, 2006 Population Estimate	Numerical Change 2000-05	Numerical Change 2000-06	Percent Change 2000-05	Percent Change 2000-06
Webb	193,117	228,354	231,643	35,237	38,526	18.2	19.9
Wharton	41,188	42,254	42,234	1,066	1,046	2.6	2.5
Wheeler	5,284	5,041	5,134	-243	-150	-4.6	-2.8
Wichita	131,664	128,711	129,069	-2,953	-2,595	-2.2	-2.0
Wilbarger	14,676	13,929	13,792	-747	-884	-5.1	-6.0
Willacy	20,082	20,663	20,610	581	528	2.9	2.6
Williamson	249,967	330,740	342,419	80,773	92,452	32.3	37.0
Wilson	32,408	38,113	38,332	5,705	5,924	17.6	18.3
Winkler	7,173	6,661	6,584	-512	-589	-7.1	-8.2
Wise	48,793	55,237	55,720	6,444	6,927	13.2	14.2
Wood	36,752	39,838	40,027	3,086	3,275	8.4	8.9
Yoakum	7,322	7,221	7,218	-101	-104	-1.4	-1.4
Young	17,943	17,700	17,665	-243	-278	-1.4	-1.5
Zapata	12,182	13,821	13,759	1,639	1,577	13.5	12.9
Zavala	11,600	11,665	11,640	65	40	0.6	0.3
State of Texas	20,851,820	22,859,968	23,047,143	2,008,148	2,195,323	9.6	10.5

Source: Texas State Data Center, Population Estimates and Projections Program